Two models of a farming environment: the fate of *Escherichia coli* contaminating either soil or water with soil
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Abstract

Pathogenic bacteria contaminating raw vegetables are known to pose a risk of foodborne illnesses. Recent studies showed that the contamination with these bacteria may originate from irrigation water, agricultural materials, or entry of animals onto farms. Here, we conducted a pilot study on the fate of the mixture of 18 *Escherichia coli* strains artificially added to 4 kinds of soil or water with these soils (as a model of irrigation water). Water content and pH of the soils were 14–31% and 6.2–6.6, respectively. The log reduction in the number of *E. coli* cells in water (6.5 log CFU mL⁻¹) 4 weeks after inoculation was 1.8–4.1 log CFU mL⁻¹ under natural conditions. The log reduction in the number of *E. coli* cells in soil (3–6 log CFU g⁻¹) was 0.5–2.9 log CFU g⁻¹ in the same period. No relation between the log reduction and initial load of the strains was found. These results are suggestive of long-term survival of contaminating *E. coli* in a farm environment.
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Introduction

Fresh vegetables have been implicated in microbial foodborne diseases worldwide¹. The incidence of foodborne illnesses attributed to fresh vegetables is increasing². Reported outbreaks associated with fresh vegetables are noteworthy because of the wide geographical distribution of the contaminated products and large numbers of consumers exposed, and thus the large number of cases³⁴.

Fresh vegetables are grown in environments that are subject to many accidental or intentional inputs that are potential sources of microbial foodborne hazards and may lead to contamination of produce⁵. Fresh vegetables produced in open growth fields may be contaminated at some point as a result of various intentional or accidental inputs such as fecally contaminated irrigation water or immature manure. The intrinsic factors of the environment such as temperature, pH, and water activity may affect the growth, survival, and persistence of bacterial foodborne pathogens⁶.

The role of contaminated water (used for production
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of vegetable crops) as a vector for transmission of these pathogens to humans is less clear. Nonetheless, poor quality of irrigation water (according to elevated fecal coliform counts) has long been known to correlate with the incidence of human pathogens in leafy-vegetable crops. Epidemiological evidence from specific outbreaks also points to the role of irrigation water in the introduction of pathogens into the production environment. For example, contamination of iceberg lettuce during a large outbreak of foodborne illnesses caused by *Escherichia coli* O157 in Sweden was linked to the use of contaminated irrigation water drawn from a small stream. The risks of contamination of fresh vegetables with enteric pathogens from irrigation water have been quantified in several risk assessment studies. Many fresh vegetables represent a nutrient-rich and moist environment suitable for the survival and growth of contaminating bacteria coming from surface irrigation water or from the attached bits of soil.

Recent studies revealed a relation between the rate of decay (reduction in live-cell number) of contaminating pathogens/fecal indicators in soil/sand and the diversity of natural bacterial flora by means of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE). Unfortunately, only a small number of inoculated strains was used in these experiments. Considering the possible diversity of the survival ability of *E. coli* in soil or irrigation water, the use of several strains may yield much more realistic results. In the present study, we evaluated the fate of a mixture of 18 *E. coli* strains added to 4 kinds of soils or water samples contaminated with one of these soils under natural conditions during a summer in Japan.

**Materials and Methods**

Eighteen *E. coli* strains (derived from 2 beef meat samples, 5 chicken meat samples, 5 pork meat samples, and 6 samples of vegetables) were used to prepare the inoculums. All of the strains showed different patterns of RAPD-PCR. Each of the strains was cultivated in 5 mL of brain heart infusion broth (Nissui Co., Ltd., Tokyo) at 35°C for 18 hours. All the cultures were mixed after centrifugation and washing with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Four soils (code-named “A” to “D”) were collected from different vegetable fields in Japan. PCR-DGGE analysis of the collected soil samples was performed according to the standard manual from the National Institute for Agro-Environmental Sciences, Japan. In brief, total DNA in soil was extracted by means of the Fast DNA SPIN Kit for Soil (Q-BIOGene, USA) and a bead mill homogenizer. The V6-8 variable region of 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) genes was amplified by PCR and subjected to DGGE analysis by means of a Bio-Rad DCode system (Bio-Rad, Co., Ltd., Tokyo).

Each 95 g sample of the soils or each 90 mL sample of water mixed with 5 g of one of the soils was placed in a sterile plastic cup (200 mL). Five milliliters of PBS diluted inoculums was mixed in the cup with the soil or contaminated water. Acidity and water content of the soil samples were measured by means of the Satotech DM-15 instrument (Sato Co., Ltd., Kawasaki). These cups were left outside (27–33°C) in Tsukuba city, Japan, from July to September 2011. A 10 g portion of the soil samples was stomached for 1 minute with 90 mL of PBS to prepare an emulsion (10-fold dilution). One milliliter of this emulsion or original water samples was serially diluted and used for counting of viable cells. Typical purple colonies that grew on Merck Coliform agar (Merck, USA) plates were counted. Several colonies were picked and confirmed as *E. coli* by means of API 20E (bioMérieux, France). The above experiments were performed in duplicate and repeated 3 times during the same season. Each of the 6 resulting viable-cell counts was subjected to statistical analysis: analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the Tukey-Kramer multiple comparison test after logarithmic conversion.

**Results and Discussion**

The pH of the collected samples was in the range 6.5 to 7.4, and no significant difference among the 4 kinds of tested samples was observed (P > 0.05). Water content of soil samples A through D was 24%, 30%, 17%, and 38%, respectively. The PCR-DGGE profile of soils A through D is shown in Fig. 1. Soils C and D yielded similar patterns, and soil A contained relatively fewer strains of bacteria than did the other tested soils.

The fate of contaminating *E. coli* in water containing 5% of soil (6.5 log CFU mL⁻¹) is shown in Fig. 2. The average log reduction after 4 weeks of storage under natural conditions was 3.5 (samples A and C), 1.8 (sample B), and 4.1 log CFU mL⁻¹ (sample D). The rate of log reduction in the number of viable cells in sample B was significantly
slower in comparison with the other tested water samples (P < 0.05).

The fate of contaminating *E. coli* in the soil samples (6.3 log CFU g⁻¹) is shown in Fig. 3. The average log reduction after 4 weeks of storage under natural conditions was 2.1 (sample A), 1.7 (sample B), 1.3 (sample C), and 1.8 log CFU g⁻¹ (sample D). The rate of log reduction in the number of viable cells in sample C was significantly slower in comparison with the other water samples tested (P < 0.05). Contaminating *E. coli* in the soil samples tended to survive better than that in water with the same soil type. When we compared the time points 0 weeks and 4 weeks for each soil, the fate of *E. coli* contaminating soils A, B, and D indicated decay by more than 1.0 log CFU g⁻¹ independently of the range of 3 to 5 log CFU g⁻¹ of the initial load of *E. coli* in the soil. At the same time, the fate of *E. coli* in soil C was consistent with decay, but the difference from other soil samples was not significant (Fig. 4).

Pathogenic enteric bacteria like *E. coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella* can persist for extended periods in a manure-amended soil, with survival times ranging from several weeks to several months and even up to 2 years in some cases. Survival in a manure-amended soil is generally reduced by higher temperatures, higher levels of native microflora, lower levels of easily available nutrients, increased levels of microbial diversity, and lower clay content. Generally, growth activity of bacteria contaminating soils is maximal in soils with 60% water content. Recent research revealed that bacterial cells enter the phase of vegetative cells that repeat cell divisions actively under rich-nutrient conditions.

![Fig. 1 Profiles of PCR with denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) of the soils tested.](image)

![Fig. 2 The fate of *Escherichia coli* in water with 5% of soil.](image)
conditions, but once environmental nutrients run low, bacteria turn into dormant cells and resting cells. Bacterial cells under these conditions were reported to show high stress tolerance and resistance\(^2\). In the present study, \textit{E. coli} contaminating soil C tended to survive longer than in the other 3 soils tested. One of the reasons may be lower water
(and nutrient) content, which may reduce activity of bacteria in this soil; thus, *E. coli* contaminating soil C may have turned into dormant and resting cells and were less likely to enter the growth phase and accordingly remained alive longer in comparison with the other soils. For the reasons stated above, the differences in the fate of *E. coli* between the contaminated water samples and soil samples may be related to water content of each sample. Additionally, it is possible that soil C contained relatively lower levels of some compounds that suppress the growth of *E. coli* because *E. coli* contaminating water sample C also tended not to decay rapidly. It is not clear whether the relation with decay is due to the viable cells of *E. coli* or due to the microbial flora of the soils used in this study.

**Conclusion**

The numbers of viable cells of the 18 strains of *E. coli* that we tested here were reduced to 1–3 log CFU mL⁻¹ in water with soil and to 2–3 log CFU g⁻¹ in soil samples after 4 weeks under natural summer conditions in Japan. The contaminating *E. coli* tended to decay more rapidly in water than in soil. Soil type-dependent rates of reduction in the number of viable cells were observed both in soil and in water with soil. These results point to the importance of prevention of bacterial contamination in a field soil and irrigation water. In addition, the collected field samples for an assay of bacterial contamination should not be kept for long under natural hot conditions. Otherwise, some portion of the contaminating bacteria may die during storage.
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