

# The Past, Present and Future of Climate Change Mitigation Research for Irrigated Rice Systems in Latin America and the Caribbean

**Ngonidzashe Chirinda<sup>1</sup>, Laura Natalia Arenas<sup>1</sup>, Maria Cristina Katto<sup>1</sup>, Sandra Patricia Loaiza<sup>1</sup>, Fernando Correa<sup>1</sup>, Manabu Isthitani<sup>1</sup>, Ana Maria Loboguerrero Rodriguez<sup>2,1</sup>, Deissy Martínez-Barón<sup>2,1</sup>, Eduardo Jose Graterol Matute<sup>3</sup>, Santiago Jaramillo Cardona<sup>3</sup>, Carlos Felipe Torres<sup>4</sup>, Miguel Arango<sup>5</sup>, Myriam Patricia Guzmán<sup>6</sup>, Ivan Avila<sup>6</sup>, Sara Hube<sup>7</sup>, Ditmar Bernardo Kurtz<sup>8</sup>, Gonzalo Zorrilla<sup>9</sup>, Jose Terra<sup>9</sup>, Pilar Irisarri<sup>10</sup>, Silvana Tarlera<sup>11</sup>, Gabriel LaHue<sup>12</sup>, Walkyria Bueno Scivittaro<sup>13</sup>, Aldo Noguera<sup>14</sup>, Cimelio Bayer<sup>15</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT), Km 17 Recta Cali - Palmira A.A. 6713, Cali, Colombia

<sup>2</sup> CGIAR Research Program on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security (CCAFS), Km 17 Recta Cali - Palmira, Cali, Colombia

<sup>3</sup> Fondo Latinoamericano para Arroz de Riego (FLAR), Km 17 recta Cali-Palmira A.A. 6713 Cali, Colombia

<sup>4</sup> Instituto de Hidrología, Meteorología y Estudios Ambientales - (IDEAM) 25 D No. 96 B - 70, A.A. 93374, Bogotá D.C, Colombia

<sup>5</sup> Corporación Colombiana de Investigación Agropecuaria (CORPOICA) Kilómetro 17, Vía Puerto López, Meta-Colombia

<sup>6</sup> Federación Nacional de Arroceros (FEDEARROZ), Carrera 100 No. 25H-55, Bogotá, Colombia

<sup>7</sup> Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias (INIA). Fidel Oteiza 1956, pisos 11,12 y 15 Providencia, Santiago, Chile

<sup>8</sup> Corrientes Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA), Ruta 12 km 1008 C.C. 57 C.P. 3400 Argentina.

<sup>9</sup> National Agricultural Research Institute (INIA) , R. 8 km 281, C.P. 33000 –Treinta y Tres – Uruguay

<sup>10</sup> University of the Republic/College of Agriculture – Dept. of Plant Biology, Garzón 809, C.P. 12900 – Montevideo, Uruguay

<sup>11</sup> University of the Republic/College of Chemistry and Science – Dept. of Biosciences, General Flores 2124 –Montevideo, Uruguay

<sup>12</sup> University of California, Davis, 1 Shields Ave, Davis, CA 95616, USA

<sup>13</sup> Embrapa Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation, Parque Estação Biológica - PqEB. Brasília, DF -Brazil

<sup>14</sup> Ministerio de Agricultura y Ganadería (MAG), Yegros 437 e/ 25 de Mayo y Cerro Corá - Edif. San Rafael, Asunción, Paraguay

<sup>15</sup> Universidad Federal de Río Grande del Sur (UFRGS), Av. Paulo Gama, 110 - Farroupilha, Porto Alegre - RS, 90040-060, Brasil

Rice production is associated with an increase in the atmospheric concentration of two greenhouse gases (GHG) namely, methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O). Based on the current mechanistic understanding on the drivers of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O production, and on studies conducted in the LAC region and elsewhere, we provide insights on the potential climate change mitigation benefits of management and technological options (i.e., seeding, tillage, irrigation, residue management) pursued in the LAC region. For instance, as observed in Asian countries, studies conducted in the LAC region show that intermittent drainage of irrigated rice fields reduces CH<sub>4</sub> emissions by 25-65% without increasing N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Compared to conventional tillage, no-tillage and anticipated tillage (i.e., fall tillage) cause a 21% and 25% reduction in CH<sub>4</sub> emissions, respectively. We show that other regional differences in rice production systems (i.e., transplanting or direct seeding and wet or dry seeding) have implications on GHG emissions. Some of these practices are also important tools for adapting to warmer and drier conditions by increasing water use efficiency and optimizing planting dates. However, the mitigation and adaptation potential of most management strategies pursued in the LAC region still needs to be quantified while acknowledging country-specific conditions. We make the case that, besides the other strategies, varietal differences in GHG emissions probably represent the most promising and possibly sustainable approach for achieving GHG emission reductions without demanding major changes in farm management changes. Therefore, we recommend that future research should include quantifying the productivity and mitigation potential of rice varieties grown in the LAC region.